Heterogeneity in therapeutic response of genetically altered myeloma cell lines to interleukin 6, dexamethasone, doxorubicin, and melphalan
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Because there is no known genetic abnormality common to all patients with myeloma, it is important to understand how genetic heterogeneity may lead to differences in signal transduction, cell cycle, and response to therapy. Model cell lines have been used to study the effect that mutations in p53 and ras can have on growth properties and responses of myeloma cells. The U266 cell line has a single mutant p53 allele. Stable expression of wild-type (wt) p53 in U266 cells results in a significant suppression of interleukin (IL)-6 gene expression and in the concomitant suppression of cell growth that could be restored by the addition of exogenous IL-6. Expression of wt p53 also leads to cell cycle arrest and protection from doxorubicin (Dox)- and melphalan (Mel)-induced apoptosis. The addition of IL-6 resulted in cell cycle progression and blocked p53-mediated protection from apoptosis. ANBL6 is an IL-6–dependent cell line that is sensitive to dexamethasone (Dex), Dox, and Mel. IL-6 is able to protect ANBL6 cells from Dex- and Mel- but not Dox-induced apoptosis. To study the effect of an activating mutation in ras, the ANBL6 cell line transfected with either a constitutively activated N- or K-ras gene was used. Both N-ras12 and K-ras12 genes were able to protect ANBL6 cells from apoptosis induced by Dex, Dox, and Mel. These data show that changes in ras or p53 can alter the myeloma cell response to IL-6 and demonstrate that the genetic background can alter therapeutic responses. (Blood. 2000;96:3175-3180)
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Introduction

Multiple myeloma is a B-cell cancer characterized by the accumulation of clonal plasma cells in the bone marrow. Numerous studies have demonstrated that interleukin-6 (IL-6) is a major growth factor for myeloma cells. Typically, IL-6 is produced by the bone marrow stromal cells. In addition, the production of IL-6 by normal B cells and a number of myeloma cell lines suggests an autocrine source of IL-6 in some patients with myeloma. There are no known genetic abnormalities common to every case of myeloma. Indeed, significant genetic heterogeneity among patient tumor cells should be associated with differences in disease progression and therapeutic response.

Mutations in the p53 gene have been reported in 10% to 20% of tumor samples from patients with myeloma. Moreover, p53 inactivation is often associated with advanced stages of the disease. Transient expression of a wild-type (wt) p53 gene has been shown to suppress IL-6 promoter activity in HeLa cells. The functional target for transcription repression by p53 was mapped to the multiple cytokine and second-messenger response element (MRE, −173 to −145) within the IL-6 promoter. Mutation at the C/EBP β (NFIL-6)-binding site within the MRE blocks the ability of p53 mutants to stimulate IL-6 promoter activity. Therefore, dysfunctional p53 may contribute to the deregulation of IL-6 production in myeloma cells.

IL-6 signals through a variety of downstream signaling pathways, including the Ras family of guanosine triphosphate–binding proteins. Point mutations at codons 12, 13, or 61 lead to a constitutively active Ras protein. Activating mutations in N- or K-ras are found in multiple myeloma with a frequency of 30% to 50%, It has been shown that activating mutations in either N- or K-ras can provide a proliferation signal independent of any additional IL-6 in the ANBL6 myeloma cell line. It was also shown that N-ras, but not K-ras, was able to provide protection from apoptosis induced by IL-6 withdrawal or treatment with dexamethasone. This demonstrates that mutations in the ras gene may have significant effects on myeloma cell growth and response to therapy.

Given the fact that there is considerable genetic heterogeneity in multiple myeloma, it is important to understand how the genetic background can affect signal transduction, cell cycle, and response to therapy. We used model cell lines to study the effect of p53 and ras mutations on the response of myeloma cells to IL-6 and chemotherapeutic agents. The autocrine IL-6–producing U266 cell line carries a single allele of the p53 gene with an inactivating mutation at exon 5. This cell line provided an excellent model to examine the effect of wt p53 on IL-6 expression. We were also able to use this cell line to study the effect of p53 mutations on myeloma growth and response to therapy. The ANBL6 cell line is an IL-6–dependent line that contains a wt ras gene. To study the effect of an activating ras mutation on response to therapy, we used ANBL6 cells that were previously transfected with either a constitutively activated N-ras12 or K-ras12 gene. Our data suggest that changes in the p53 or ras gene can alter the myeloma cell response to IL-6. They also demonstrate that myeloma cells may respond differently to chemotherapeutic agents depending on the genetic background of the cell.
Materials and methods

Cell culture

The autocrine IL-6–producing U266 myeloma cell line was purchased from American Tissue Culture Collection (Rockville, MD), and the ANBL6 cell lines were as previously described. All cell lines were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 50 U/mL each of penicillin and streptomycin, 2 mmol/L L-glutamine (BRL, Gaithersburg, MD), and 0.5 μg/mL IL-6 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) except as indicated. All cultures were incubated in the absence of IL-6 for 3 days to eliminate the IL-6 effect on the cells and were then centrifuged on Ficoll-Histopaque to eliminate dead cells before each experiment.

Plasmid constructs and transfection

The p53 cDNA was a generous gift from Dr Robert Kratzke (VA Hospital, Minneapolis, MN). The Tet-regulated expression system was purchased from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA). The pTet.TAK.Neo vector was generated by cloning the neomycin gene to pTet.TAK vector at the NotI site. The p53 expression vector (pTet.Splice.p53.Hyg) was constructed by cloning p53 cDNA to the EcoRI site of pTet.Splice, and the hygromycin gene was then cloned to the NotI site of pTet.Splice.

Stable transfection of cells

U266 cells (1 × 10^6) were collected by centrifugation and resuspended with 400 μL of complete RPMI 1640 containing 10% fetal calf serum and 50 μ/mL each of penicillin and streptomycin and 2 mmol/L L-glutamine (BRL). Linearized pTet.TAK.Neo and p53 expression vectors were mixed in a 1:1 molar ratio. pTet.TAK.Neo was linearized with ApaI (NEB, Beverly, MA). pTet.Splice.p53.Hyg was linearized with BsrXI (NEB). DNA was added to the cell suspension and transfected to a 0.4-cm gap-width electroporator. Cells were electroporated at 300 V and 960 μF in a Gene Pulser (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Cells were then allowed to recover for 20 minutes at room temperature and were transferred to 10 mL complete RPMI 1640 with 0.1 ng/mL IL-6 and incubated for 48 hours at 37°C and 7% CO2 before selection in Geneticin (400 μg/mL; BRL) or Hygromycin (200 μg/mL, CalBiochem). Fresh selective medium was added every 4 hours for 12 days, and then the antibiotic-resistant transfectants were expanded in complete RPMI 1640 with 0.1 ng/mL IL-6.

RNA preparation and reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction

Total RNA was prepared by extraction with Trizol (BRL) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA was quantitated by spectrophotometry, and 1 μg RNA was reverse transcribed with SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (RT) (BRL) at 42°C for 50 minutes with 300 μg oligo(dT) (Pharmacia, Piscatway, NJ) in a 20-μL reaction mixture according to manufacturer’s instructions. Five microliters of the reaction mixture from reverse transcription was amplified in a 100-μL reaction mixture according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each primer, 2.5 U Taq polymerase (Amplitaq; PerkinElmer-Cetus, Norwalk, CT), 200 mmol/L dNTP (Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology, Uppsala, Sweden), 50 mmol/L KCl, 10 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), and 1.5 mmol/L MgCl2. The reactions were denatured at 95°C for 3 minutes and subjected to 30 cycles of amplification with denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute, annealing at 55°C to 60°C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 1 minute followed by final extension at 72°C for 15 minutes using a DNA Thermocycler (PerkinElmer-Cetus, Emeryville, CA).

Oligonucleotide primers

All oligonucleotide primers used in this study were synthesized on the Milligen Bioresearch DNA synthesizer (model 8750; Novato, CA). The sequences of the oligonucleotides are listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward Primer</th>
<th>Reverse Primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p53</td>
<td>5′-CGC CAT CCA CGC CTG TGT TTG GAC CTC CAT AG-3′</td>
<td>5′-TGT CTG GTA ACG GCA ATG CG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>5′-AAC TCC TTC TCC ACA AGC G-3′</td>
<td>5′-TGG ACT GCA GGA ACT CTT T-3′</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Porphyribinogen deaminase. PBGD5′: 5′-TGT CTG GTA ACG GCA ATG CG-3′; PBGD3′: 5′-TACA TTG CCA CCA CAC TG-3′.

[HI] Thymidine incorporation assay

The assay was performed as previously described. Briefly, cells were diluted to 2 × 10^3 cells/mL and 200 μL of each culture was seeded to 96-well plates in the presence of various concentrations of IL-6 as indicated. The 96-well plates were incubated for 2 days before 1 μCi [HI] thymidine (5 Ci/mmol; Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL) was added to each well. The cells were further incubated for at least 12 hours, but no more than 18 hours, and then harvested onto glass filter paper (Skatron, Sterling, VA). [HI] Thymidine incorporation was measured by liquid scintillation counting (Beckman, Arlington Heights, IL).

Apoptosis and cell cycle analysis

The assay was performed as previously described. Briefly, cells were washed and diluted to 2 × 10^5 cells/mL with RPMI 1640 without or with dexamethasone (Dex), doxorubicin (Dox), melphanal (Mel), or IL-6 as indicated. Cells were harvested and pelleted at the indicated time points. Cell pellets were suspended with 0.5 mL hypotonic lysis solution (50 μg/mL propidium iodide (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) in 0.1% sodium citrate and 0.1% triton X-100) and incubated at 4°C for at least 3 hours in the dark. Nuclear staining was analyzed on a FACS Calibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA).

Nuclear extract preparation

Nuclear extracts were prepared as previously described. Briefly, 10^6 cells were washed in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline, and the cell pellet was lysed in 5 packed cell volumes Buffer A (10 mmol/L HEPES, pH 7.9, 1.5 mmol/L MgCl2, 10 mmol/L KCl, 0.5 mmol/L dithiothreitol, 0.1% NP-40) for 2 minutes on ice. Nuclei were pelleted at 9000 rpm for 2 minutes at 4°C and then harvested onto glass filter paper. Nuclear staining was analyzed on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

For NF-kB, AP-1, or NFIL-6 mobility shifts, 10 μg nuclear extract was incubated with radiolabeled probe (10 000 cpmp) in a binding buffer that contained 5% glycerol, 50 mmol/L NaCl, 10 mmol/L Tris (pH 7.5), 1 mmol/L DTT, 1 mmol/L EDTA, and 0.5 μg poly dI-dC for 30 minutes at room temperature. The samples were electrophoresed on a 4.5% acrylamide gel containing 50 mmol/L Tris (pH 7.8), 0.38 mol/L glycine, and 2 mmol/L EDTA. For the STAT gel shift, 10 μg nuclear extract was incubated with radiolabeled probe (10 000 cpmp) in a binding buffer that contained 20 mmol/L HEPES, 0.1 mmol/L EDTA, 1 mmol/L DTT, 0.1 mmol/L PMSF, 1.5% glycerol, and 0.5 μg poly dI-dC for 30 minutes at room temperature. Samples were electrophoresed on a 4.5% acrylamide gel with 5% glycerol and 0.5 × TBE. For competition assays, 100 μmolar excess of a specific or a nonspecific probe was incubated with the samples for 5 minutes before the addition of labeled probes. The sequence of the oligonucleotides used were (upper strand shown):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward Primer</th>
<th>Reverse Primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NF-kB, TCT CAA CAG AGG GGA CTT TCC CAG AGG CCA TCT GG</td>
<td>AP-1, CTA GAT CCT CTA GAA CCT ACT CAT CGG ACT TAC TAC</td>
<td>STAT, AGC TTC ATT TTC CGT AAT CCC TAA AOC T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Ectopic expression of wt p53 suppresses autocrine IL-6 expression and suppresses cell cycle in U266 cells

To study the effect of p53 mutations on myeloma cell growth and response to therapeutic agents, U266 cells were stably transfected with a wt p53 expression construct under the control of a minus-tetracycline (Tet)-inducible promoter. Reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) shows that the wt p53 gene is expressed in the U266.TAK.p53 stably transfected cell line, but not in the parental cell line transfected with the empty vector alone (Figure 1A). To amplify only the RNA from the transfected gene and not the endogenous gene carrying the mutation, the primers were designed with one recognizing a vector sequence and the other recognizing a sequence in the p53 gene. In our hands, the expression of wt p53 is constitutive in the bulk-selected population and not suppressed in the presence of Tet. We chose to examine bulk-transfected populations rather than individual subclones to minimize potential artifacts of integration from limited numbers of subclones. Previous studies10,12 have indicated that wt p53 can suppress IL-6 promoter activity and that some mutations in p53 can up-regulate IL-6 expression. RT-PCR shows that IL-6 expression is significantly suppressed in U266 cells by the ectopic expression of wt p53 (Figure 1A). Longer exposure shows some residual expression of IL-6 in the U266.TAK.p53 cell line (data not shown). Additionally, the level of secreted IL-6 measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay is 42.6 pg/mL in U266.TAK-conditioned media compared with only 1.9 pg/mL in U266.TAK.p53-conditioned media.

A [3H] thymidine incorporation assay was used to examine the effect of wt p53 on the proliferation of U266 cells in the presence of varying concentrations of IL-6 (Figure 1B). The control cell line shows high [3H] thymidine incorporation in the absence of IL-6 and no additional stimulation in response to IL-6. In contrast, the U266.TAK.p53 cell line shows very low [3H] thymidine incorporation in the absence of IL-6, which increases in response to IL-6 in a dose-dependent manner. Consistent with this, the U266.TAK.p53 cell line in the absence of IL-6 shows a loss of cells in the S and G2/M stages of the cell cycle (Figure 1C). The addition of IL-6 results in an increase in the number of cells in cycle from 29% to 44%. There is no evidence of increased apoptosis in wt p53 transfected cells in the absence of IL-6 (Figure 1C). This may be due to a small amount of residual IL-6 expression in the U266.TAK.p53 cell line, serum components that maintain viability, or other factors that we have not examined.
signal from damaged DNA to genes that control cell cycle and apoptosis (reviewed in Gotz and Montenarh). Recent studies also demonstrate that cells expressing wt p53 show reduced cytotoxicity in response to DNA damaging agents, such as Dox or alkylating agents such as Mel. Because Dox and Mel are used in the treatment of myeloma, we wanted to determine whether either would have different effects on apoptosis in U266 cells with mutant or wt p53. U266.TAK or U266.TAK.p53 cells were treated with 0.25 μmol/L Dox or 10 μmol/L Mel for 4 days to examine the effect of p53 on apoptosis. Treatment of U266.TAK cells with Dox or Mel causes an increase in the G2/M stage of the cell cycle by day 2 when compared to untreated cells (Figure 2). Thirty-nine percent of the cells are in the S/G2/M stages compared to 73% and 60% for Dox and Mel, respectively. [3H]Thymidine incorporation assays show that the increase results from G2/M arrest rather than from increased cell cycle because there is little or no [3H] thymidine incorporation in the presence of Dox or Mel (data not shown). The G2/M block in cell cycle is followed by a significant increase in apoptosis by day 4. Expression of wt p53 results in a loss of Dox- (26% compared to 55%) or Mel- (34% compared to 70%) induced apoptosis. Notably, the addition of IL-6 stimulates cell cycle progression resulting in an increase in apoptosis (Figure 2).

To determine the effect of wt p53 expression on various IL-6–related transcription factors, electrophoretic mobility shift assays were performed in the presence and absence of IL-6 (Figure 1D). NF-κB DNA binding activity remains constant in all the conditions tested and can serve as a control for the integrity of the nuclear extracts. Both AP-1 and STAT1/3 are involved in the IL-6 signal transduction pathway, and their DNA binding activity is down-regulated in the U266.TAK.p53 cell line in the absence of IL-6. Addition of IL-6 restores the binding activity of both AP-1 and STAT1/3. It has been shown that the up-regulation of IL-6 by mutant p53 requires an intact NFIL-6 binding site in the IL-6 promoter and that p53 can be directly involved in repressing NFIL-6–dependent gene transcription. Consistent with this, there is a suppression of NFIL-6 binding in the U266.TAK.p53 cell line when compared to the control. Addition of IL-6 did not significantly restore NFIL-6 binding. This suggests that wt p53 may suppress IL-6, in part, by down-regulating NFIL-6 activity.

p53-mediated cell cycle arrest protects U266 cells from Dox- and Mel-induced apoptosis

p53 has been shown to play an important role in transducing a signal from damaged DNA to genes that control cell cycle and apoptosis. Recent studies also demonstrate that cells expressing wt p53 show reduced cytotoxicity in response to DNA damaging agents, such as Dox or alkylating agents such as Mel. Because Dox and Mel are used in the treatment of myeloma, we wanted to determine whether either would have different effects on apoptosis in U266 cells with mutant or wt p53. U266.TAK or U266.TAK.p53 cells were treated with 0.25 μmol/L Dox or 10 μmol/L Mel for 4 days to examine the effect of p53 on apoptosis. Treatment of U266.TAK cells with Dox or Mel causes an increase in the G2/M stage of the cell cycle by day 2 when compared to untreated cells (Figure 2). Thirty-nine percent of the cells are in the S/G2/M stages compared to 73% and 60% for Dox and Mel, respectively. [3H]Thymidine incorporation assays show that the increase results from G2/M arrest rather than from increased cell cycle because there is little or no [3H] thymidine incorporation in the presence of Dox or Mel (data not shown). The G2/M block in cell cycle is followed by a significant increase in apoptosis by day 4. Expression of wt p53 results in a loss of accumulation in the S/G2/M stages of the cell cycle and significantly suppresses Dox- (26% compared to 55%) or Mel- (34% compared to 70%) induced apoptosis. Notably, the addition of IL-6 stimulates cell cycle progression resulting in an increase in apoptosis (Figure 2).

IL-6 protects ANBL6 cells from Dex- and Mel- but not Dox-induced apoptosis

To compare the U266.TAK.p53 cell line with another IL-6–dependent cell line, we used ANBL6 cells. One difference between the 2 cell lines is that ANBL6 expresses a mutant p53 (P.L., unpublished observations). The addition of IL-6 to ANBL6 cells stimulates the cell cycle and provides protection from Dex-induced apoptosis. We confirm the IL-6 protection and show that ANBL6 cells treated with Dex in the absence of IL-6 arrest in the G0/G1 stage of the cell cycle before undergoing apoptosis (Figure 3). Treatment of the ANBL6 cell line with Dox or Mel in the presence of IL-6 results in an arrest in the S or G2/M stage of the cell cycle, similar to the arrest in the U266.TAK.p53 cell line treated with IL-6 (Figure 3). Unlike the U266.TAK.p53 cell line, however, ANBL6 cells are sensitive to Dox-induced apoptosis, even in the absence of IL-6. Moreover, IL-6 is able to provide some protection from Mel-induced apoptosis in ANBL6 cells. This suggests that differences in the genetic makeup of cells, such as p53 mutations, can affect the response to chemotherapeutic agents.
Activated N-ras or K-ras can protect ANBL6 cells from apoptosis induced by Dex, Dox, or Mel

It has been shown to 11 that activating mutations in N- or K-ras can stimulate proliferation and protect ANBL6 cells from apoptosis induced by IL-6 withdrawal. Based on this and on the results obtained with the U266 cell line, we expected ANBL6 cells transfected with either an activated N-ras or K-ras to be more sensitive than the parental cell line to Dox and Mel because these genes would stimulate cell cycle and DNA synthesis. Similar to the effect of IL-6, mutations in either N-ras or K-ras are able to stimulate the cell cycle and result in S or G2/M phase arrest in cells treated with Dox or Mel (Figure 4). Surprisingly, expression of an activating mutation in either N-ras or K-ras is able to provide significant protection from apoptosis induced by Dox, Mel, or N-ras12 K-ras is able to provide more improvements in treating patients.

IL-6 promoter activity. Additionally, some mutants of p53 may actually increase IL-6 expression. These results suggest that mutations in p53 may play a role in the aberrant expression of IL-6 in myeloma. Consistent with this, we show that the expression of wt p53 can suppress IL-6 expression in U266 cells. One consequence of IL-6 suppression is the loss of AP-1 and STAT1/3 binding activities, both of which are part of the IL-6 signal transduction pathway. It was recently suggested that myeloma cells have elevated levels of constitutive STAT activation. Our data suggest that this may be the result of IL-6 produced either by the myeloma cell or from the environment, because loss of IL-6 expression resulted in a loss of STAT1/3 binding activity.

p53 has been shown to be involved in DNA repair by blocking cells in the G0/G1 stage of the cell cycle, therefore allowing DNA repair before the initiation of DNA synthesis. In support of this, it has been demonstrated that wt p53 can reduce the cytotoxicity of Dox in ovarian cancer and breast cancer cells. Others have found, however, that a loss of wt p53 gene is associated with resistance to Dox. Our study demonstrates that the expression of wt p53 decreased the cytotoxic effects of Dox and Mel in U266 cells. The protection appears to be dependent on cell cycle arrest at the G0/G1 stage of the cell cycle, and could be a result of a p53-dependent block of the cell cycle, a consequence of loss of IL-6 expression, or both. The ANBL6 cell line also expresses a mutant p53 gene. In the absence of IL-6, these cells are arrested in the G0/G1 stage of the cell cycle but are sensitive to apoptosis induced by Dox or Mel. This suggests that the protection seen in the U266.TAK.p53 cell line may be due to DNA repair facilitated by the presence of wt p53 rather than just a suppressed cell cycle. In the presence of IL-6 there may be a competition between a p53-induced block in the cell cycle and IL-6 driving the cell cycle. Stimulating the cell cycle with IL-6 may not allow for sufficient DNA repair, resulting in the intermediate levels of apoptosis seen in the U266.TAK.p53 cell line in the presence of IL-6 (Figure 2).

Activating mutations in N- or K-ras have previously been shown to stimulate proliferation of ANBL6 cells. Based on this and on the apoptosis data from the U266 cells, we expected that the N-ras12- and K-ras12-transfected ANBL6 cells would be more sensitive than the parental ANBL6 line to Dox- or Mel-induced apoptosis. Surprisingly, expression of either an activated N- or K-ras is able to provide protection from apoptosis. This protection appears to be more complete than the protection provided by IL-6. One possible explanation for this may be differences in signal kinetics. IL-6 may provide a transient signal through ras that is quickly down-regulated. The cells with the ras mutation, however, will have a constitutive signal downstream of ras, with a resultant constitutive protection. The mechanism for this is at present unclear.

Previous reports have suggested IL-6 is an antiapoptotic factor. However, as we have shown, IL-6 induction of the cell cycle can have an apoptotic-enhancing effect on DNA-damaging agents. Moreover, we have shown that genetic heterogeneity can have an affect on how myeloma cells respond to IL-6 and chemotherapeutic agents. As new screening methods are applied to determine therapeutic response, genetic reclassification of tumors should provide more improvements in treating patients.
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